

Panhandle Academic Libraries Conference - Rubric

Main criteria for selection: (a) Quality of written content, and (b) Demonstration of significance to the field.

Scoring Range: 0 - 17

Library Type Interest Level --Is of interest to Academic (or Special) Libraries specifically, as opposed to public or school media centers	Yes - 2 points	Maybe, some carryover potential - 1 point	No - 0 points	
Discipline Interest Level --Would be of strong interest to multiple disciplines (cataloging, reference, library liaison, LIS instruction, technology, etc.)	Yes, of interest to multiple disciplines - 3 points	Would be of interest to a specific discipline - 2 points	Would be of moderate interest to a particular discipline - 1 point	Limited to no interest to any discipline - 0 points
Clarity of abstract --Committee has a clear understanding of what the writer is intending to present, with well-defined takeaways or learning objectives, well-thought out activities (if appropriate), and is in line with the conference's goals	Abstract is clear, and committee is confident that the writer understands what they will be presenting - 2 points	Abstract is murky. The abstract seems promising, but the committee is not totally confident of what will be presented - 1 point	Abstract is confusing, and the committee has no idea what the author intends - 0 points	
Timeliness of Program --Topic is of wide interest	This is a topic new on the horizon that many people are talking about and wanting more information on - 3 points	This is a topic of perpetual interest, and presenter promises a new, interesting or exciting angle - 2 points	Not much new on the topic, but might be a nice review - 1 point	Topic has nothing new to offer the profession - 0 points
Fit to Theme --Topic is in line with the general theme of the conference	Topic is an excellent fit to the conference theme - 2 points	Topic is a pretty good fit to the conference theme - 1 point	Topic is not related to the conference theme - 0 points	
Actionable features and Useful Ideas or Strategies --Information presented is of use to participants' daily work	Information presented has practical merit and will be useful to the work of many participants - 2 points	Information presented has potential and may spur participants in developing their own work strategies - 1 point	Information presented does not have practical application for other libraries - 0 points	
Overall Preference --Reviewer's personal picks	This is a favorite and should definitely be included - 3 points	This is a good proposal and should be highly considered for inclusion - 2 points	Other proposals are better, but this one still has merits - 1 point	I do not recommend this proposal - 0 points